UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

FILED TRKS OFFICE

	_ 235 A96 23 P 박 06
ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of Labor United States Department of Labor,) LO DISTRICT COURT DESTRICT OF MASS
Plaintiff,	3 05 CENTL ACTION 4 JLT FILE NO.
v.)
CHARLES J. MAILMAN, individually and as Fiduciary of the Chasma Scientific, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and Trust	RECEIPT #
and) WAIVER FORM MCF ISSUED
CHASMA SCIENTIFIC, INC.	BY DPTY. CLK. SINGS DATE
Defendants)

COMPLAINT

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Elaine L. Chao, Secretary of the United States Department of Labor, hereby alleges:

- (1) This action arises under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1001 *et seq.*, and is brought to obtain equitable relief, to redress violations, to obtain restitution from employee pension benefit plan fiduciaries, and to obtain other appropriate relief necessary to enforce the provisions of Title I of ERISA, pursuant to ERISA §§ 502(a)(2) and (5), 29 U.S.C., §§ 1132(a)(2) and (5).
- (2) The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to ERISA § 502(e)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1).

Filed 08/23/2005

- (3) Venue of this action lies in the District of Massachusetts pursuant to ERISA § 502(e)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2).
- (4) Chasma Scientific, Inc., during the pertinent period of on or about January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2000, was and remains an employer within the meaning of ERISA § 3(5), 29 U.S.C. §1002(5), and a corporation having an office and place of business at 53 Smith Place, Cambridge MA 02138, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- (5) The Chasma Scientific, Inc. Profit Sharing Plan and Trust ("the Plan"), has been since its inception, and remains, an employee pension benefit plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2)(A), and was, and remains, covered under ERISA pursuant to § 4(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1003(a). The purpose of the Plan was, and remains, to provide retirement income for eligible employees of Chasma Scientific, Inc.
- (6) Participants of the Plan made pre-tax contributions from their salaries to the Plan during the pertinent period.
- (7) Defendant Chasma Scientific, Inc. was at all pertinent times, and remains, the sponsor of the Plan, as defined by ERISA § 3(16)(B)(i), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(16)(B)(i), and acted as Plan Administrator for the Plan at all times material hereto, and, as such, was a fiduciary with respect to the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A).
- (8) Defendant Charles Mailman was a trustee of the Plan at all times material hereto, and exercised authority or control respecting management or disposition of assets of the Plan and exercised discretionary authority or discretionary responsibility in the administration of the Plan. As such, Charles Mailman was a fiduciary with respect to the Plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A).

Filed 08/23/2005

- (9) At all times material hereto, it was a responsibility of the Plan trustee, Charles Mailman, to hold and invest Plan assets.
- (10) From approximately January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2000, Charles Mailman failed to seek and acquire appropriate execution prices for Plan investment transactions, a failure that resulted in the Plan paying needlessly high transaction and/or commission costs.
- (11) From approximately January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2000, Charles Mailman failed to follow an appropriate and prudent investment strategy for the acquisition and sale of Plan assets.
- (12) From approximately January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2000, Charles Mailman made inappropriate and imprudent investment decisions for the Plan which resulted in losses to the Plan.
- (13) From approximately January 1, 1997 to December 31, 2000, Chasma Scientific, Inc. failed to take any actions to ensure that Plan expenses and costs were reasonably defrayed and minimized.
- (14) As a result of the conduct set forth at Paragraphs 10 through 12, Defendant Charles Mailman failed to discharge his fiduciary duties with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent man acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims, in violation of ERISA §§ 404(a)(1)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(A), and 404(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B).

- (15) As a result of the conduct set forth at Paragraph 13, Defendant Chasma Scientific, Inc. failed to discharge its fiduciary duties with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent man acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims, in violation of ERISA §§ 404(a)(1)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(A), and 404(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B).
- (16) Pursuant to ERISA Section 405(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1105(a), Charles Mailman and Chasma Scientific Inc. are each jointly and severally liable for the losses caused by the fiduciary breaches of the other.

WHEREFORE, the Secretary of Labor prays that this Court enter an Order:

- (1) Requiring Defendants to restore to the Plan any and all outstanding losses incurred as a result of breaches of their fiduciary duties and violations for which they are liable, with appropriate interest;
- (2) Requiring Defendants to comply with the provisions of ERISA § 404, 29 U.S.C. § 1104 in the future;
- (3) Barring Charles Mailman from functioning as a fiduciary, within the meaning of ERISA § 3(21)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A), to any employee benefit plan covered by ERISA and,
 - (4) Awarding to Plaintiff other relief as is equitable and just.

Howard M. Radzely Solicitor of Labor

Frank V. McDermott, Jr. Regional Solicitor

Donald E. d'Entremont

Attorney

BBO # 558834

U.S. Department of Labor Attorneys for Plaintiff

Post Office Address:

U.S. Department of Labor Office of the Solicitor JFK Federal Building Room E-375 Boston, MA 02203 TEL: (617) 565-2500

FAX: (617) 565-2142

Complaint for Chao v. Charles J. Mailman and Chasma Scientific. Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

l. 160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 2315 AUG 23 F	over sheet. (See
III. 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, "Also complet 740, 790, (93) 820", 830", 840", 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. for patent, tree 140, 790, (193) 820", 830", 840", 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. for patent, tree 140, 790, (193) 820", 830", 840", 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. for patent, tree 140, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 480, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 660 V. 150, 152, 153. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than properties this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this ceart. N/A Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court YES	
T40, 790, (3) 820°, 830°, 840°, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950. for patent, training the property of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Central Division Mestare sheet identifying the motions) III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 243, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 385, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 640, 640, 640, 640, 640, 640, 64	⊃ 4: OP
III. 110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891. IV. 220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than pre prior related case this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this central number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than pre prior related case in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this central number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than pre prior related case in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this central number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than prepared to be filed in this count is a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this count is sufficiently prepared in this case in this count is a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this count is appropriate. No prepared in this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 yes No prepared in the same division? - 40.1(d)). Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - 40.1(d)). A. If yes, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	te AO 120 or AO 121 ademark of copyright cases
690, 810, 881-865, 870, 871, 875, 900. V. 150, 152, 153. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than the prior related case this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this cent. N/A Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court. YES	
Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than the partie related case this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this centre. N/A Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court. YES NO Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public 28 USC §2403) YES NO If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 YES NO Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? -40.1(d)). A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Restricted the filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	4 4
Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this courty appear of the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public 28 USC §2403) YES NO If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 YES NO Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? -40.1(d)). A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Response to the parties, excluding agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Response to the parties, excluding agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Response to the parties, excluding agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Response to the parties and the Commandation of	E
Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public 28 USC §2403) YES NO If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 YES NO Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? -40.1(d)). A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division General Division Western Division Central Division Research Division Central Division Research Divisi	nas been filed in
Does the complaint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public 28 USC §2403) YES NO If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 YES NO Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? -40.1(d)). A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division General Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Researched Parties and the Commassachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Researched Parties and the Commassachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Researched Parties and the Commassachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Researched Parties Pa	17
If so, is the U.S.A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party? YES NO Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 YES NO Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? -40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Resides, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	interest? (See
Do all of the parties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commassachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? -40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Residence of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	
Massachusetts ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - 40.1(d)). YES NO A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division Central Division Western Division Seatern Division Central Division Western Division Seatern Division Seate	USC §2284?
A. If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Di B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excludin agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Di If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	
Eastern Division Central Division Western Division B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excludin agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	
agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If filling a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	ivision
If filing a Notice of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	g governmental
yes, submit a separate sheet identifying the motions)	ivision
YES NO	this Court? (If
, and language 110	
ASE TYPE OR PRINT)	
RNEY'S NAME Donald E. d'Entremont DESS U.S. Dept. of Labor, Office of the Solicitor, JFK Federal Bldg., Room E-375, Bosto	

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974 is req the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Page 2 of 2

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	TS	F. H. E. L.)		
ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of Labor U.S. Department of Labor				CHARLES J.	MAILMAN			TC, INC.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Middlesex (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
Donald E. d'Entremo U.S. Deptartment of	Address, and Telephone Nunt, Esquire (6) Labor, Office of the Seg, Room E-375, Boston	17)565-2500 olicitor	Surger, San	Attorneys (If Kno Richard Gell Cellb & Gell 20 Custom Ho	Esquire LLP	44	JL		
IL BASIS OF JURISDICT	_		(For Di	NSHIP OF PRINCI iversity Cases Only)	IF DEF	AND ONE	E BOX FOR DEF	ENDANT) PTF DEF	
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	n nent Not a Party)	Citizen	n of This State		corporated or of Business In	Principal Place I This State	□ 4 □ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz in Item !!!)	enship of Parties		of Another State	_	of Business In	d Principal Place Another State	□ 5 □ 5 □ 6 □ 6	
			ı	n or Subject of a eign Country	3 □3 Fo	oreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUI			FORE	TETTO E (DENIAL TEX	BANKRU	TRYCY	OTHER ST	ATTITES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpaymer & Enforcement of Judgme 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpaymer of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectmen 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assauft, Libel & 12 Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product It Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Inju. CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment	PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vac. Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & C 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Y	Effure/Penalty 0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 0 Liquor Laws 0 R. & Truck 0 Aritine Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Mgmt. Relation: 0 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appeal 2 423 Withdray	val 157 Y RIGHTS Ark ECURITY 111) ng (923) WW (405(g)) the XVI EXITS CAX SUITS 1.5. Plaintiff (ant)	400 State Rear 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer 200 Corrupt Or 310 Selective S 850 Securities / Exchange 375 Customer 12 USC 34 891 Agricultura 392 Economic 393 Environme 394 Energy Alle 395 Freedom of Information 900 Appeal of I	Banking //CC Rates/etc. Influenced and ganizations Service //Commodities/ Challenge -10 1 Acts Stabilization Act. on tall Matters ocation Act. of Act. Fee Determinational Access to onality of others	
	TION (Cite the U. S. Civil Step Do not cite jurisdiction) C \$1001 et. seq. To obe Title I of ERISA. CHECK IF THE UNDER F.R.C.	pellate Court atute under which you are al statutes unless diversit tain equitable relie S IS A CIASS ACTIO	4 Reinst Reoper filing and writ by.)	Transfer ated or 5 another (specify	to obtain othe	CK YES only i	ot 7 Mag Judg relief necessar	y to	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Entremont, Esquire	SIGNATURE OF AT	TTORNEY OF	RECORD		MAG IIID			